1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 3 4 Kyle Gotshalk, et al., 2:13-cv-00448-JAD-NJK 5 **Plaintiffs Order Adopting in Part Report and** 6 Recommendation, Entering Default v. against Stakool, and Dismissing 7 Peter Hellwig, et al., Stakool's Counterclaims with Prejudice 8 Defendants [ECF No. 92] 9 10 On February 26, 2016, Magistrate Judge Koppe ordered defendant and counter-claimant 11 Stakool Inc. to retain counsel and enter a notice of appearance by March 25, 2016. When Stakool 12 failed to comply, Magistrate Judge Koppe ordered Stakool to show cause why case-dispositive 13 sanctions should not be entered against it,² and expressly warned that failure to respond to that order 14 would result in a recommendation of dismissal.³ The deadline to respond expired, and Stakool did 15 not appear or respond to the magistrate judge's show-cause order. 16 On April 15, 2016, Magistrate Judge Koppe issued a report recommending that I (1) enter 17 default judgment against Stakool and (2) dismiss Stakool's counterclaims with prejudice.⁴ She 18 reasoned that "[s]anctions less drastic than default judgment and dismissal are unavailable because 19 Stakool has willfully refused to comply with multiple court orders despite the warning that dismissal 20 may result." Objections to the report and recommendation were due by May 2, 2016, and Stakool 21 22 23 ¹ ECF No. 90. 24 ² ECF No. 91. 25 ³ *Id*. 26 27 ⁴ ECF No. 92.

28

⁵ *Id.* at 1.

Case 2:13-cv-00448-JAD-NJK Document 94 Filed 05/11/16 Page 2 of 2

has not filed an objection or requested an extension to do so.⁶

"[N]o review is required of a magistrate judge's report and recommendation unless objections are filed." I find that Stakool's failure to object to the report and recommendation combined with its repeated refusals to comply with this court's orders justify a Clerk's entry of default and dismissal sanctions. I therefore adopt in part Magistrate Judge Koppe's report and recommendation, enter default against Stakool, and dismiss Stakool's counterclaims with prejudice. But because plaintiffs must prove damages, I decline to enter default judgment at this time. And because defaults have now been entered against all defendants, 8 the time is ripe for plaintiff to file a motion for default judgment.

Conclusion

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that Magistrate Judge Koppe's report and recommendation [ECF No. 92] is ADOPTED in part; the Clerk of Court is instructed to enter default against Stakool, and Stakool's counterclaim is dismissed with prejudice.

Plaintiffs are instructed to file a motion for default judgment by May 20, 2016.

Dated this 11th day of May, 2016.

United States District Judge

19 20

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

22

23

24

25

26

28

⁶ L.R. IB 3-2.

27

⁷ Schmidt v. Johnstone, 263 F. Supp. 2d 1219, 1226 (D. Ariz. 2003); see also Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 150 (1985); United States v. Reyna-Tapia, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003).

⁸ ECF No. 26 (Clerk's entry of default against Anthus Life Corp. and Peter Hellwig).